

## LOCAL ADMISSIONS FORUM

**Venue:** Town Hall,  
Moorgate Street,  
Rotherham.

**Date:** Thursday, 15th November  
2007

**Time:** 10.00 a.m.

### A G E N D A

1. Apologies for Absence
2. Minutes of the previous meeting held on 5th July, 2007 (copy herewith) (Pages 1 - 4)
3. Matters Arising
4. Membership List (copy herewith) (Page 5)
5. Bev Booker to attend.
6. Report on Admission Consultation for 2009/10 Admissions Year (report attached). (Pages 6 - 17)
7. Any Other Business
8. Date and Time of Next Meeting  
**Thursday, 27<sup>th</sup> March, 2008 at 10.00 a.m.**

**LOCAL ADMISSIONS FORUM**  
**5th July, 2007**

Present:- Mrs. I. G. Hartley (in the Chair); Mrs. G. Atkin, Mrs. H. McLoughlin, Mr. M. Hall, Mr. P. Robins, Mr. F. Hedge, Ms. S. Henchley and Mr. G. Lancashire.

**1. APPOINTMENT OF CHAIRMAN**

Agreed:- That Councillor Rushforth be appointed as Chairman of the Forum for the 2007/08 municipal year.

**2. APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIRMAN**

Agreed:- That Mr. I. G. Hartley be appointed as Vice-Chairman of this Forum for the 2007/08 municipal year.

**3. NEW MEMBERS**

Mrs. H. McLoughlin and Mr. S. Henchley were welcomed (belatedly!) to the meeting.

**4. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies for absence were received from Ms. C. Thorpe, Mr. B. N. Sampson, Father Antony Haynes, Ms. C. Sellars, Councillors Rushforth and Whelbourn.

**5. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING**

Agreed:- That the minutes of the meeting held on the 29th March, 2007 be received as a correct record.

**6. MATTERS ARISING**

(a) Membership

Martin Harrop reported on efforts being made to fill the vacancies on the Forum. An item to be put in the next Governors Newsletter.

Agreed:- That the action being taken be noted and a list of the up to date membership be included with the papers for the next meeting of this Forum.

(b) Welcome Centre

The meeting was informed that Bev Booker was unable to attend this meeting to outline the role of the Welcome Centre but she would be able to attend the November meeting.

(c) Rawmarsh St. Mary's CE School

David Hill reported that the proposal to close the above school had now been agreed and he outlined the situation in respect of the placement of pupils, the schools which they would attend and support being made available to them.

(d) Schools Affected by the Floods

David Hill informed the meeting of the situation relating to two schools which were still closed following the recent flooding :-

Kilnhurst Primary  
The Willows, Thurcroft

The extent of damage to the schools was outlined, along with the remedial work to be undertaken and where pupils would be educated until they could return to the schools.

The Chairman requested that the M.P. for Flood Resilience, John Healey, be kept informed of the up to date situation in respect of schools in Rotherham affected by the flooding.

Agreed:- That the report be received.

**7. IN YEAR FAIR ACCESS PROTOCOL**

Martin Harrop submitted the draft protocol (based on the current Hard to Place protocol) and outlined the amendments commenting in particular on the revised guidance from the DfES in respect of :-

(a) placement of a challenging child at a school which has a particularly high concentration of pupils with challenging behaviour

(b) the infant class size legislation and children classed as 'excepted pupils'.

Particular discussion took place on (a) above, the support required for challenging pupils when admitted to schools and the need for School Admission Appeal Panels to have full information on both school and pupil situation when deliberating on a parental preference for a child with challenging behaviour to attend a specific school.

Agreed:- That the In Year Fair Access Protocol for the Rotherham Area be received and agreed. Issues arising from discussions on its content be raised at the next training session for School Admission Appeal panellists.

**8. WATH HOUSING**

Further to Minute No. 21(h) of the meeting held on the 29th March, 2007,

Martin Harrop submitted a report giving an update on potential areas of new housing development in the Wath area and implications for school capacity in the area.

The report gave an update on pupil number/capacities of schools to show the position in 2006/07 and the position beyond that.

Reference was made to the surplus place situation in schools at present and how this would be affected depending upon the timing of the new housing development.

Seven areas of development had been identified and the possible effect on primary school places was set out in the report submitted. The developments were all within the catchment area for Wath Comprehensive School.

The conclusion is that although there is no imminent problem in terms of school place provision, each of the developments identified could contribute, at some time in the future, towards a requirement for additional school capacity in the Wath area.

The situation was to be regularly monitored.

Agreed:- That the report be received and the situation noted.

## **9. CONSULTATION ON ADMISSIONS ARRANGEMENTS FOR 2009/10**

Martin Harrop outlined the timetable in respect of school admission arrangements for the Admission Year 2009/10.

The new Admission Code was now in place.

Particular reference was made to advice from the DfES on the need for admission authorities to give consideration to siblings having priority within the admission criteria.

It was clarified that the admission criteria for faith schools remained at the discretion of school governing bodies but they had to have regard to the advice from the DfES.

The Local Authority had to consider any potential changes to the admissions criteria applying to community and controlled schools before consultation takes place in the Autumn Term

A report on the matter was, therefore, to be submitted to the Cabinet Member for Lifelong Learning in July in order for consideration to be given on the priority order of siblings (and also those with a social or medical reasons) within the admission criteria for community and controlled schools.

Agreed:- That the report be received and the report to be submitted to the Cabinet Member be submitted to the next meeting of this Forum.

**10. CO-ORDINATED ADMISSIONS**

Marina Jordan informed the meeting of the present situation in respect of school admissions for September 2007.

There were eight secondary schools which were oversubscribed and 24 infant schools (Reception).

There were still a number of reception age children to be allocated a place.

Agreed:- That the information be received.

**11. ADMISSION TO SECONDARY SCHOOL 2008/09**

The booklet for 2008/09 was distributed, along with a personalised letter which highlighted important points, which were being sent to schools/parents.

**12. CHOICE ADVICE**

A leaflet on Choice Advice, helping you to understand the secondary school admission process, was distributed. This was being sent to schools/parents.

**13. DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETING**

This was scheduled for Thursday 15th November, 2007 at 10.00 a.m.

## LOCAL ADMISSIONS FORUM

(Last Updated after LAF MEETING 290307 - after discussing new Admissions Forum membership -  
Updated 5th April, 2007)

**QUORUM:- 4 GROUPS OUT OF 8 MUST BE  
REPRESENTED WITH A MINIMUM OF 6 MEMBERS.**

### CONSTITUTION

**Rotherham LEA (3)**

Councillor Rushforth  
(1st Term of Office from May, 2007)

Councillor Falvey  
(1<sup>st</sup> Term of Office from May 2007)

Councillor Colin Barron  
(1<sup>st</sup> Term of Office from June, 2005)

**Church of England (3)**

Mr. B. N. Sampson  
(2<sup>nd</sup> Term of Office from 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2002)

Carol Sellars

Mrs. G. Atkin  
(2<sup>nd</sup> Term of Office from 10<sup>th</sup> July 2002 )

**Diocese of Hallam, RC (3)**

Clare Thorp (PA) – 0114/2562246  
(Frank McDermott and P. Storey retired)

Mrs. H. McLaughlin (St. Mary's RC School)  
(1<sup>st</sup> Term of Office late 2006)

Father Anthony Hayne  
(1st Term of Office from 29<sup>th</sup> March, 2007)

### SCHOOLS

**Community & Controlled (3)**

Mrs. I. G. Hartley - **(Vice-Chairman)**  
(2<sup>nd</sup> Term of Office from 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2002)  
Tel:- 875943

**Two vacancies**

**Voluntary Aided (3)**

Mr. G. Lancashire  
(1<sup>st</sup> Term of Office from 10<sup>th</sup> July 2003)

**Two vacancies**

**Community Reps**

Mrs. P. Powell (518979)  
Mr. F. Hedge (552054)  
Mr. P. Robins (added 2<sup>nd</sup> February, 2007)

**Parent Governor Rep (1)**

Mr. Mick Hall

**Others invited to attend:-**

Early Years Development Officer (S. Henchley) and Mr. M. A. Khan

**ROTHERHAM METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL  
REPORT TO GOVERNING BODIES – AUTUMN TERM 2007**

**CONSULTATION ON ADMISSION ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE ADMISSION YEAR 2009/10**

**i) Admission Numbers and Admissions Criteria**

This item gives governors the opportunity to consider the admission arrangements (criteria and admission number), which will apply for admission in 2009/10. The Local Admission Forum has previously considered the requirements for consultation and has agreed that the LA should facilitate this, as far as possible, by use of the Authority's Internet site.

The timetable for the year is:-  
Autumn Term 2007

Governing bodies consider the arrangements which will apply.

By 13<sup>th</sup> January 2008

All relevant details to be forwarded to the LA.

18<sup>th</sup> January – 1<sup>st</sup> March 2008

Period of consultation via the LA's website.

By end of March

LA and the Local Admission Forum consider any changes and forward any comments to appropriate Admission Authority(ies).

By 15<sup>th</sup> April 2008

All admission authorities to determine their arrangements and notify those consulted.

**Community and Controlled Schools**

For these schools, the LA is the admission authority. The proposed admissions criteria for 2009/10 are shown at Appendix 1. **There are proposed changes to the criteria which applied for 2008/09 and the LA is consulting on these – further details are overleaf.**

Each school's proposed admission number is shown at appendix 2.

**Action:** The governing body should complete and return the pro-forma to Martin Harrop, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Norfolk House, as soon as possible and no later than 13<sup>th</sup> January 2008.

**Voluntary Aided Schools**

The governing body is the admission authority. Full consultation is only required this year if there are any proposed changes to the arrangements that applied for 2008/09. If there are any proposed changes at Church of England schools, Governing Bodies should consult their Diocesan Board before consulting anyone else.

**Action:** Governing Bodies to consider both the admissions criteria and the admission number appropriate for the school. If there are any proposed changes, full details of the admissions criteria and admissions number to be forwarded to the LEA by 13<sup>th</sup> January 2008 to enable the full consultation with all the appropriate consultees to be carried out via the Internet. This should be done by e-mail to [martin.harrop@rotherham.gov.uk](mailto:martin.harrop@rotherham.gov.uk) Pro-forma to be completed and returned as for community and controlled schools.

## **Further General Points**

All admission numbers should now be set by reference to the indicated admission number (IAN) deriving from the net capacity calculation.

An admission number higher than the IAN can be set, subject to the necessary consultation, feedback and determination.

An admission number lower than the IAN can be set, subject to the above, but would also require the publication of a notice with provision for objection to the Adjudicator.

All infant, J&I, Primary schools need to continue to be mindful of the need to maintain classes from R to Y2 at 30 or less.

If you require any further information or would wish to discuss any matters relating to admission numbers/criteria/net capacity, please contact Martin Harrop on 01709 822415.

## ii) **Co-ordinated Admission Arrangements**

Schemes for the co-ordination of admission arrangements for Primary and Secondary schools were agreed for 2008/09.

Once again, there are no proposed changes to those schemes, except for any necessary minor amendments to dates.

**Action: Governing Bodies to note and to forward any comments, if any, on the pro-forma.**

## **Proposed changes to the admissions criteria applying to community and controlled schools**

The Authority is consulting on possible amendments to its admissions criteria applying to community and controlled schools. This is in response to provisions contained within the Schools Admissions Code under Chapter 2 – Setting fair oversubscription criteria, particularly in relation to Government advice on the treatment of siblings and also in relation to those with a specified medical/social need. The following gives the background to the proposed changes.

The latest School Admissions Code was eventually published and came into force on 28<sup>th</sup> February 2007, which was one day before the end of the consultation period for 2008/09 admissions. There was sufficient time for admission authorities to make the necessary mandatory changes in respect of Looked After Children (top priority) and any 'first preference first' criteria, which were effectively banned, but there was no opportunity to consider, and consult on, any other changes which would be seen as good practice by the Code.

Under Section 2 of the Code – Setting Fair Oversubscription Criteria there are a number of paragraphs which consider the position of siblings and also those children with a Social or Medical need.

**Paragraph 2.18 'Siblings at Primary Schools'** reads:

'Families must be at the heart of the admissions system and the Government expects the admission authorities for primary schools to take the needs of parents with young children into account in deciding which oversubscription criteria will be used. At primary schools it is good practice to give priority to siblings. Admission authorities **should**



ensure in their oversubscription criteria that, as far as possible, siblings (including twins, triplets or children from other multiple births) can attend the same primary school, as long as they comply with the infant class size regulations.'

Interestingly, in respect of secondary school aged children Paragraph 2.19 reads: 'At secondary school age, children are usually more independent but many parents will still want their children to attend the same schools. Giving priority to siblings at secondary schools that have no more than 10% selection by ability and aptitude is acceptable and can be good practice.'

**On 'Social and medical need' the Code includes at Paragraph 2.25:**

'Admission authorities **must not** use this criterion to give a child a lower priority in obtaining a place at the school, but it is acceptable to give higher priority to children or families where there is a social or medical need (for example where one or both parents or the child has a disability that may make travel to a school further away more difficult).'

Rotherham has always used catchment areas as part of the published criteria and this has usually been afforded top priority, save for the mandatory requirement, now in place, which puts 'relevant looked after children' as the first criterion and the special conditions, in relation to Y3, where attendance at the associated Infant school has a higher priority. The majority of children entering community and controlled schools fall into the 'catchment area' category and the Code confirms that use of catchment areas is lawful and acceptable. However, living in the catchment area does not guarantee a place in a school as, in some instances, there will be more catchment area preferences than places available. Where that is the case, the distance tie-breaker comes into use, but it means that with reference to the current priority order, those living outside the catchment area with a sibling on roll at the school and those with a recognised social or medical need would not be offered a place. In respect of the latter, that decision would seem particularly perverse since the pupil would fall into a category which the LA (the admission authority for the school) would be agreeing would make attendance **at that particular school essential**.

In view of the above it is, therefore, recommended that the LA (as the admission authority for all Rotherham's community and controlled schools) should include as part of the consultation requirements for the 2009/10 admissions year a proposal to give a higher priority within its admissions criteria to:

- those children whose older brothers or sisters will be on roll of the preferred school (or its associated junior school in respect of Reception preferences) at the time of their admission,
- children who have a specific medical reason confirmed by a medical practitioner which the Authority is satisfied makes attendance **at that particular school essential**,
- children with a compelling social reason which the Authority is satisfied makes attendance **at that particular school essential**. The kinds of overriding social reasons which could be accepted are where there is evidence that the pupil's education would be seriously impaired if he or she did not attend the preferred school.

The above three categories would have a higher priority than:

- children living in the catchment area of the school as defined by the Authority and any other criteria with a lower priority within the published 2008/09 admissions criteria.

Appendix 1 shows the full revised criteria which the Authority is consulting on.

**Proposed admission criteria for community and controlled schools – 2009/10**

**Primary Reception**

**Places will be allocated in the following order of priority:**

- i) Relevant looked after children (see note 2 below).
- ii) Those children whose older brothers or sisters will be on the roll of the preferred school or its associated junior school at the time of their admission.
- iii) Children who have a specific medical reason confirmed by a medical practitioner which the Authority is satisfied makes attendance **at that particular school essential**.
- iv) Children with a compelling social reason which the Authority is satisfied makes attendance **at that particular school essential**. The kinds of overriding social reasons which could be accepted are where there is evidence that the pupil's education would be seriously impaired if he or she did not attend the preferred school.
- v) Children living in the catchment area of the school as defined by the Authority.
- vi) Children who live nearest to the school measured in a straight line on a horizontal plane (as the crow flies).

**Year 3**

**Places in Year 3 at a Junior School will be allocated in the following order of priority:-**

- i) Relevant looked after children (see note 2 below).
- ii) Children in attendance at Y2 in the associated Infant School.
- iii) Children whose older brothers or sisters will be on the roll of the school at the time of their admission.
- iv) Children who have a specific medical reason confirmed by a medical practitioner which the Authority is satisfied makes attendance **at that particular school essential**.
- v) Children with a compelling social reason which the Authority is satisfied makes attendance **at that particular school essential**.
- vi) Children living in the catchment area of the school as defined by the Authority.
- vii) Children who live nearest to the school measured in a straight line on a horizontal plane (as the crow flies).

## **Secondary Year 7**

### **Places will be allocated in the following order of priority:-**

- i) Relevant looked after children (see note 2 below).
- ii) Those children whose older brothers or sisters will be on the roll of the preferred school at the time of their admission.
- iii) Children who have a specific medical reason confirmed by a medical practitioner which the Authority is satisfied makes attendance **at that particular** school essential.
- iv) Children with a compelling social reason which the Authority is satisfied make attendance **at that particular** school essential. The kind of overriding social reasons which could be accepted are where there is evidence that the pupil's education would be seriously impaired if he or she did not attend the preferred school.
- v) Children who, on the Allocated Date, are living in the catchment area of the school as defined by the Authority.
- vi) Children who, on the allocation date, are on the roll of one of the associated Primary/Junior/Junior and Infant schools as identified by the Authority.
- vii) Children who, on the Allocated Date, live nearest to the school measured by a straight line on a horizontal plane, (commonly known as measurement, "as the crow flies").

### **Notes**

- 1 Where the admission number for any school is likely to be reached mid category, places will be prioritised within that category by reference to the distance between the home address and the school. Highest priority will be given to those living closest to the school measured in a straight line on a horizontal plane (commonly known as measurement, "as the crow flies").
2. Where any final place at a school is available and two or more pupils are judged to be living equidistant from the school (e.g in flats), the final place will be allocated by the drawing of lots by officers of the authority.
3. A 'relevant looked after child' is a child that is looked after by a local authority in accordance with Section 22 of the Children Act 1989 at the time an application for admission to a school is made, and also the local authority has confirmed will still be looked after at the time when he/she is admitted to the school.
4. Places will be allocated in accordance with the LEA's co-ordinated admissions schemes for Primary and Secondary schools. In assessing preferences, the LEA will operate an 'equal preference' system, which means that no priority will be given according to the ranking of the preference, except where a potential offer can be made in respect of more than one school. In that situation, the final offer of a place will be made at the highest ranked of the potential offer schools.
5. Children issued with a statement of Special Educational Needs will gain a place at the school named in the statement as part of that process.

## PRIMARY SCHOOLS

School	Net Capacity	Indicated Admission Number	Admission Number 2008/2009	Proposed Admission Number 2009/2010	Comments
Anston Brook Primary	253	36	40	40	
Anston Greenlands J&I	247	35	38	38	
Anston Hillcrest Primary	210	30	30	30	
Anston Park Infant	225	75	75	75	
Anston Park Junior	270	67	75	75	
Aston CE J&I	210	30	30		
Aston Fence J&I	140	20	20	20	
Aston Hall J&I	210	30	30	30	
Aston Lodge Primary	210	30	30	30	
Aston Springwood Primary	210	30	30	30	
Aughton Primary	195	27	30	30	
Badsley Moor Infant	270	90	90	90	
Badsley Moor Junior	360	90	90	90	
Blackburn Primary	316	45	56	56	
Bramley Grange Primary	280	40	40	40	
Bramley Sunnyside Infant	240	80	80	80	
Bramley Sunnyside Junior	320	80	80	80	
Brampton Cortonwood Infant	120	40	40	40	
Brampton the Ellis CE Infant	120	40	40		
Brampton the Ellis CE Junior	269	67	70		
Brinsworth Howarth J&I	210	30	30	30	
Brinsworth Manor Infant	240	80	80	80	
Brinsworth Manor Junior	320	80	80	80	
Brinsworth Whitehill Primary	296	42	42	42	
Broom Valley Infant	179	59	60	60	
Broom Valley Junior	239	59	60	60	
Canklow Woods Primary	270	38	30	30	New build cap = 210
Catcliffe Primary	170	24	25	25	
Coleridge Primary	210	30	30	30	
Dalton Foljambe J&I	141	20	30	30	
Dinnington Primary	305	43	43	43	
St Joseph's Catholic Primary (Dinnington)	196	28	28		
East Dene J&I	420/350	60/50	50	50	To reduce in line with new build capacity
Ferham Primary	210	30	30	30	
Flanderwell Primary	175	25	30	30	
Greasbrough J&I	308	44	50	50	
Harthill Primary	180	25	30	30	
Herringthorpe Infant	210	70	70	70	
Herringthorpe Junior	280	70	70	70	
High Greave Infant	180	60	60	60	
High Greave Junior	240	60	60	60	

School	Net Capacity	Indicated Admission Number	Admission Number 2008/2009	Proposed Admission Number 2009/2010	Comments
Kilnhurst Primary	196	28	28	28	
Kimberworth Primary	210	30	30	30	
Kiveton Park Infant	162	54	54	54	
Kiveton Park Meadows Junior	180	45	59	59	
Laughton CE Primary	105	15	15		
Laughton J&I	145	20	24	24	
Lilly Hall Junior	240	60	60	60	
Listerdale J&I	210	30	30	30	
Maltby Craggs Infant	180	60	60	60	
Maltby Craggs Junior	243	60	60	60	
Maltby Hall Infant	178	59	60	60	
Maltby Manor Primary	420	60	60	60	
Maltby Redwood J&I	315	45	45	45	
St Mary's Catholic Primary (Maltby)	210	30	30		
Meadow View Primary	280	40	40	40	
Ravenfield Primary	210	30	30	30	
Rawmarsh Ashwood J&I	210	30	30	30	
Rawmarsh Monkwood Infant	173	57	60	60	
Rawmarsh Monkwood Junior	243	60	60	60	
Rawmarsh Rosehill Junior	240	60	60	60	
Rawmarsh Ryecroft Infant	180	60	60	60	
Rawmarsh Sandhill Primary	209	29	30	30	
Rawmarsh St Joseph's Catholic Primary	196	28	30		
Rawmarsh Thorogate J&I	210	30	30	30	
Redscope J & I	420	60	60	60	
Rockingham J&I	329	47	56	56	
Roughwood Primary	392	56	56	56	
Sitwell Infant	228	76	76	76	
Sitwell Junior	300	75	76	76	
St Ann's J&I	420	60	60	60	
St Bede's Catholic Primary	280	40	40		
St Mary's Catholic Primary (Herr)	208	29	30		

School	Net Capacity	Indicated Admission Number	Admission Number 2008/2009	Proposed Admission Number 2009/2010	Comments
St Thomas' CE Primary (Kiln)	180	25	30	30	
Swallownest Primary	210	30	30	30	
Swinton Brookfield Primary	322	46	50	50	
Swinton Fitzwilliam Primary	350	50	50	50	
Swinton Queen Primary	315	45	45	45	
Thornhill Primary	210	30	30	30	
Thorpe Hesley Infant	210	70	80	80	
Thorpe Hesley Junior	285	71	80	80	
Thrybergh Fullerton CE Primary	105	15	17		
Thrybergh Primary	261	37	37	37	
St Gerard's Catholic Primary	140	20	20		
Thurcroft Infant	180	60	60	60	
Thurcroft Junior	373	93	70	70	
Todwick J&I	210	30	30	30	
Treeton CE Primary	259	37	37		
Trinity Croft CE J&I	112	16	16		
Wales Primary	164	23	30	30	
Wath CE Primary	210	30	30		
Wath Central Primary	420	60	60	60	
Our Lady & St Joseph's Catholic Primary	175	25	30		
Wath Victoria J&I	270	38	40	40	
Wentworth CE J&I	104	14	16	16	
West Melton J&I	128	18	28	28	
Whiston J&I	210	30	30	30	
Whiston Worrygoose J&I	210	30	30	30	
Wickersley Northfield Primary	419	59	60	60	
St Alban's CE Primary	210	30	30		
Woodsetts J&I	205	29	30	30	

## SECONDARY SCHOOLS

School	Net Capacity Figure	Indicated Admission Number	Admission Number 2008/2009	Proposed Admission Number 2009/2010	Comments
Aston Comprehensive School, A Specialist School in Maths and Computing	1755	300	280	280	Subject to annual notice – lower no. than IAN
Brinsworth Comprehensive School	1487	255	255	255	
Clifton Comprehensive	1433	286	250	250	To match new build capacity
Dinnington Comprehensive School	1444	252	252	252	
Maltby Comprehensive School	1638	290	290	290	
Oakwood Technology College	1050	210	210	210	
Rawmarsh School, A Sports College	1108	221	222	222	
Swinton Community School, A Maths & Computing College	1320	226	226	226	
Thrybergh Comprehensive	700	140	140	140	
Wales High School	1520	248	248	248	
Wath Comprehensive A Language College	1788	300	300	300	
Wickersley School and Sports College	1725	279	300	300	Net capacity should be 1850 – new build
Wingfield Comprehensive	845	169	170	170	Net capacity should be 850 - new build
Winterhill	1128 (before new build)	225	320	320	Net capacity should be 1600 - new build.
St Bernard's Catholic High, Specialist School for the Arts	792	158	140		Subject to annual notice no. lower than IAN
Pope Pius X Catholic High	650	130	130		

**ADMISSION NUMBER FOR SIXTH FORMS**

<b>School Name</b>	<b>Admission Number for Y7-Y11</b>	<b>Proposed Admission Number for Y12 2009/10*</b>
Aston Comprehensive School, A Specialist School in Maths and Computing	280	42
Brinsworth Comprehensive School	255	38
Dinnington Comprehensive School	252	37
Maltby Comprehensive School	290	43
Swinton Community School, A Maths & Computing College	226	34
Wales High School	248	37
Wath Comprehensive A Language College	300	45
Wickersley Schools and Sports College	300	45

\* This number is 15% of the admission number for Y7.



**ADMISSIONS CONSULTATION FOR 2009/10 ENTRY**

**A) Community and Controlled Schools**

1) There are proposed changes to the LA's current admissions criteria applicable to community and controlled schools shown at Appendix 1. The revised priority order would provide a better fit with the requirements of the new Code.

Does the Governing Body -

Agree with the changes

Disagree

Further comments (if any):

2) The proposed Admission Number for 2009/10 is shown in Appendix 2.  
Does the Governing Body -

Agree with the number

Disagree

If disagree, the suggested admission number for the school is .....

Further comments:

**B) Voluntary Aided Schools**

1) There are no proposed changes to the current admission criteria.  
or  
Amendments will be made to the admissions criteria  
for the school admission year 2009/10

2) The proposed admission number for the school for  
2009/10 is

**C) All Schools**

1) There are no proposed changes to the co-ordinated schemes applying to both Primary and Secondary schools, except for any necessary minor changes to dates.

Noted and agree

Comments (if any):

NB Please complete this pro-forma and return to Martin Harrop by no later than **13<sup>th</sup> January 2008**.

**All voluntary aided schools** should forward their full proposed admissions criteria, **if there are any changes** via e-mail to [martin.harrop@rotherham.gov.uk](mailto:martin.harrop@rotherham.gov.uk) by the same date, in order that appropriate consultation can be undertaken via the website.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

School \_\_\_\_\_